

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Metaplectic spinor fields on phase space: A path integral approach

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1993 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 6319 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/26/22/030)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.68 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 20:05

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Metaplectic spinor fields on phase space: a path integral approach

E Gozzi<sup>†</sup> and M Reuter<sup>‡</sup>

 † Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Trieste, Strada Costiera 11, PO Box 586, Trieste, Italy, and
 INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy
 ‡ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestrasse 85, W-2000 Hamburg 52, Federal Republic of Germany

Received 21 April 1993

Abstract. We study spinor fields on the phase space of a generic Hamiltonian system. Under linearized canonical transformations these spinors transform according to the metaplectic representation of Sp(2N). We derive a path integral for their time evolution and discuss their dynamical and geometrical properties. In particular we show that they can be interpreted as semiclassical wavefunctions for the associated Hamiltonian.

#### 1. Introduction

In relativistic field theory it is well known that the definition of spinor fields on a (possibly curved) spacetime manifold  $\mathcal{M}_n$  involves replacing the group of local frame rotations by its covering group. Depending on the signature of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , O(n) is replaced by Spin(n), or O(1, n-1) by Spin(1, n-1), respectively. It is perhaps less well known [1] that a similar construction can also be performed on the phase space of any quantum system. The role of the Lorentz group which rotates the frames in the local tangent spaces is now taken by the symplectic group Sp(2N), the group of linear canonical transformations. Tensor fields on phase space transform according to tensor products of the vector representation of Sp(2N). They are the analogue of integer spin fields on spacetime. What corresponds to fields of half-integer spin are the metaplectic spinor fields on phase space. They form representations of the covering group of Sp(2N), the metaplectic group Mp(2N). In many respects the relation between Mp(2N) and Sp(2N) is similar to the relation between Spin(n) and O(n). For example, in analogy with the rule  $\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} = 1 \oplus 0$  for SU(2) representations, say, one can combine two metaplectic spinors to form a vector. However, unlike Spin(n), which possesses finite-dimensional representations, those of Mp(2N) are infinite-dimensional. Typically the representation space is a Hilbert space on which Mp(2N) is realized by unitary operators.

In the past, metaplectic representations were mostly studied in the framework of geometric quantization [2] and in the context of the semiclassical approximation [3]. More recently metaplectic spinors made their appearance in theories with Parisi–Sourlas supersymmetry [4], in the covariant quantization of the Green–Schwarz superstring [5] and in models of anyon superconductivity [6]. The purpose of the present paper is to introduce and to study a path integral for the time-evolution of metaplectic spinor fields which can be defined on the phase space of any Hamiltonian system. The evolution equation for the

spinors is specified in such a way that certain bilinears constructed out of them (analogues of  $\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$  for Dirac fields) transform as vector fields under the Hamiltonian flow. The path integral involves an integration over anticommuting 'worldline spinors'  $\eta$  and  $\bar{\eta}$  transforming in the metaplectic representation. It turns out that, on one hand,  $\eta$  and  $\bar{\eta}$  are closely related to semiclassical wavefunctions, and, on the other hand, they are 'square roots' of the Jacobi fields  $c^a$  which describe small fluctuations around classical trajectories [7]. To be precise,  $c^a$ may be written as  $\bar{\eta}\gamma^a\eta$  with the metaplectic Dirac matrices  $\gamma^a$ . We shall see that the natural geometrical setting for metaplectic spinor fields is that of a Hilbert bundle over phase space. In the same way as tensor fields 'live' in the local (co)tangent spaces, metaplectic spinor fields assume values in (infinite-dimensional) Hilbert spaces which should be visualized as fibres over the points of phase space. The Jacobi fields are related to Grassmannian variables (ghosts)  $c^a$  which transform in the vector representation of Sp(2n); they provide a basis in the local tangent spaces. In a similar way the metaplectic ghosts provide a basis in the local Hilbert spaces.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce a path integral for the Hamiltonian time evolution of tensors transforming in any representation of Sp(2N). In section 3 we construct its spinor representation in a language which is appropriate for our purposes, and in section 4 we discuss spinor fields on phase space. In section 5 we investigate the properties of, what we could call, 'worldline spinors' appearing in our path integral. Finally in section 6, the worldline spinors are related to semiclassical wavefunctions.

## 2. The path integral

Let  $\mathcal{M}_n$  be an *n*-dimensional manifold with local coordinates  $\phi^a$ , and let Diff $(\mathcal{M}_n)$  be the group of diffeomorphisms on  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . Furthermore, let  $\chi$  be an arbitrary tensor or spinor field on  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . Under the action of an element of Diff $(\mathcal{M}_n)$  that drags the field through an infinitesimal displacement  $\delta \phi^a = -h^a(\phi)$  (where  $h \equiv h^a \partial_a$  is some vector field on  $\mathcal{M}_n$ ) the tensor changes by an amount  $\delta \chi = l_h \chi$  where

$$l_h = h^a \partial_a - \partial_b h^a G^b_{\ a} \tag{2.1}$$

denotes the Lie derivative [8]. Here  $G_a^b$  are the generators of GL(n, R) in the representation to which  $\chi$  belongs. If  $\chi \equiv (\chi^{\alpha})$  is arranged as a column vector, the generators  $G_a^b \equiv (G_a^{b\,\beta})$  form a matrix basis of the corresponding Lie algebra. The indices  $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$ could be coordinates indices  $a, b, \ldots$ , frame indices or spinor indices. Coordinate indices can be converted to frame indices by contraction with appropriate vielbein fields. In the resulting local frame basis  $\chi$  is a scalar under diffeomorphism, but under local frame rotations it may transform in any representation of O(n) or O(1, n - 1), respectively. This includes spinor representations provided we go over to the covering group Spin(n) or Spin(1, n - 1), respectively.

In the present paper the manifold  $\mathcal{M}_n \equiv \mathcal{M}_{2N}$  under consideration is not a spacetime manifold, but rather the phase space of an arbitrary Hamiltonian system. It is a symplectic manifold [9] implying that its dimensionality is even (n = 2N) and that it carries a closed, non-degenerate two-form  $\omega = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ab}d\phi^a \wedge d\phi^b$ . For the simplicity of the presentation we assume that canonical coordinates  $\phi^a = (p^i, q^i)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, N$ ,  $a = 1, \ldots, 2N$ , can be introduced globally such that  $\omega_{ab} = -\omega_{ba}$  becomes a constant matrix with entries  $\pm 1$ . Its inverse is denoted  $\omega^{ab}$ :  $\omega_{ac}\omega^{cb} = \delta^b_a$ . The only non-vanishing components of  $\omega^{ab}$  are

 $\omega^{N+i,j} = -\omega^{j,N+i} = \delta^{ij}$ , i, j = 1, ..., N. We specify a scalar function H on  $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$  which will serve as a Hamiltonian. It gives rise to the Hamiltonian vector field [8]  $(\partial_{\alpha} \equiv \partial/\partial \phi^{\alpha})$ 

$$h^{a}(\phi) = \omega^{ab}\partial_{b}H(\phi) \tag{2.2}$$

so that Hamilton's equation reads  $\phi^a = h^a(\phi)$ . For any two scalar functions f and g on  $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$  we define the Poisson bracket

$$\{f,g\}_{\rm pb} = \partial_a f \omega^{ab} \partial_b g. \tag{2.3}$$

In classical Hamiltonian dynamics the time evolution of densities  $\rho(\phi, t)$  on phase space is governed by the equation

$$-\partial_t \varrho = \{\varrho, H\}_{\rm nb} = l_h \varrho. \tag{2.4}$$

The RHS of (2.4) is exactly the Lie derivative of  $\rho$  along the Hamiltonian vector field h. Because  $\rho$  is a scalar,  $l_h$  has the simple form  $l_h = h^a \partial_a$ . Tensors of higher rank evolve under the Hamiltonian flow in an analogous fashion

$$-\partial_{t}\chi^{b_{1}b_{2}\cdots}_{a_{1}a_{2}\cdots} = l_{h}\chi^{b_{1}b_{2}\cdots}_{a_{1}a_{2}\cdots}$$
$$= h^{c}\partial_{c}\chi^{b_{1}b_{2}\cdots}_{a_{1}a_{2}\cdots} + \partial_{a_{1}}h^{c}\chi^{b_{1}b_{2}\cdots}_{ca_{2}\cdots} - \partial_{c}h^{b_{1}}\chi^{cb_{2}\cdots}_{a_{1}a_{2}\cdots} + -\cdots.$$
(2.5)

In the following we shall consider (2.1) only for the Hamiltonian vector fields h of (2.2) which generate canonical transformations or symplectic diffeomorphisms, i.e. those diffeomorphisms which keep invariant the symplectic 2-form  $\omega$ . As the formalism we shall set up is covariant only under those transformations, the generators  $G^b_a$  generate the symplectic group Sp(2N) rather than the full GL(2N; R). We shall see that this group plays a role similar to the Lorentz group which rotates local frames in spacetime.

It is convenient to define

$$K_{ab}(\phi) \equiv \partial_a \partial_b H(\phi)$$

$$\Sigma^{ab} \equiv i \left( G^a_{\ c} \omega^{cb} + G^b_{\ c} \omega^{ca} \right)$$
(2.6)

so that

$$l_h = h^a \partial_a + \frac{1}{2} i K_{ab} \Sigma^{ab}$$
(2.7)

with  $K_{ab} = K_{ba}$  and  $\Sigma_{ab} = \Sigma_{ba}$ . If  $\chi^{\alpha}$  stands for a vector field on  $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ ,  $\chi^{\alpha}(\phi) \rightarrow v^{a}(\phi)$ , say, then the generators are

$$\left(\Sigma_{\text{vec}}^{ab}\right)^{c}_{d} = -\mathrm{i}\left(\delta_{d}^{a}\omega^{bc} + \delta_{d}^{b}\omega^{ac}\right) \tag{2.8}$$

and we recover the standard form of the Lie derivative

$$l_h v^a = h^b \partial_b v^a - \partial_b h^a v^b. \tag{2.9}$$

Similarly, for one-forms,  $\chi^{\alpha}(\phi) \rightarrow F_{\alpha}(\phi)$ , the generators are

$$\left(\Sigma_{\text{form}}^{ab}\right)_{c}^{d} = i\left(\delta_{c}^{a}\omega^{bd} + \delta_{c}^{b}\omega^{ad}\right)$$
(2.10)

thus reproducing the usual equation

$$l_h F_a = h^b \partial_b F_a + \partial_a h^b F_b. \tag{2.11}$$

It is not difficult to check that the matrices (2.8) generate the group Sp(2N) in the vector representations. The group elements  $S_{b}^{a}$ , with infinitesimal parameters  $\kappa_{ab} = \kappa_{ba}$ 

$$S^{a}_{\ b} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{i}\kappa_{cd}\Sigma^{cd}_{\mathrm{vec}}\right)^{a}_{\ b} = \delta^{a}_{\ b} + \omega^{ac}\kappa_{cb}$$
(2.12)

satisfy the relation

$$\omega_{ab}S^a_{\ c}S^b_{\ d} = \omega_{cd} \tag{2.13}$$

i.e. they preserve the symplectic matrix  $\omega$ . Hence they are elements of Sp(2N). The converse is also true [3]: any symplectic matrix infinitesimally close to the identity is of the form (2.12). The group elements in the representation dual to (2.12) are

$$S_a^{\ b} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} i \kappa_{cd} \Sigma_{\text{form}}^{cd}\right)_a^b$$
$$= \delta_a^{\ b} - \omega^{bc} \kappa_{ca}$$
(2.14)

satisfying

$$S_a{}^c S_b{}^a \omega_{cd} = \omega_{ab}. \tag{2.15}$$

In an analogous fashion one could write down the generators  $\Sigma^{ab}$  in more complicated representations of Sp(2N), e.g. tensor products of (2.8) and (2.10), or in the metaplectic representation to be introduced in the next section. For the time being let us consider a set of fields  $\chi_{\alpha}(\phi, t)$  which transform under Sp(2N) in any representation. We assume that the time evolution of  $\chi_{\alpha}(\phi, t)$  is governed by the equation

$$\partial_t \chi_\alpha(\phi, t) = -l_h \chi_\alpha(\phi, t) = -\left[\delta_\alpha^{\ \beta} h^a \partial_a + \frac{1}{2} i K_{ab}(\phi) \left(\Sigma^{ab}\right)_\alpha^{\ \beta}\right] \chi_\beta(\phi, t)$$
(2.16)

with  $\Sigma^{ab}$  in the appropriate representation. Equation (2.16) generalizes (2.4): for scalar functions  $\chi \equiv \rho$  the RHS of (2.16) is a conventional Poisson bracket. Next we show that also for tensors or spinors  $\chi_{\alpha}$  the RHS of (2.16) may be interpreted as a Poisson bracket provided one works on an extended phase space  $\mathcal{M}_{ext}$  rather than the usual one,  $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ . The extended phase space [7]  $\mathcal{M}_{ext}$  is a supermanifold [10] coordinatized by  $(\phi^a, \lambda_a, \eta^\alpha, \bar{\eta}_\alpha)$  where  $\phi^a$ ,  $a = 1, \ldots, 2N$ , are the usual coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ ,  $\lambda_a$  is a set of 2N bosonic auxiliary variables conjugate to  $\phi^a$ , while  $\eta^{\alpha}$  and  $\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}$  are anticommuting Grassmannian variables. We define on  $\mathcal{M}_{ext}$  the extended Poisson bracket (epb) structure

$$\{\phi^{a}, \lambda_{b}\}_{epb} = \delta^{a}_{b} \qquad \{\phi^{a}, \phi^{b}\}_{epb} = 0 = \{\lambda_{a}, \lambda_{b}\}_{epb}$$

$$\{\bar{\eta}_{\beta}, \eta^{\alpha}\}_{epb} = -i\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} \qquad \{\eta^{\alpha}, \eta^{\beta}\}_{epb} = 0 = \{\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \bar{\eta}_{\beta}\}_{epb}$$

$$(2.17)$$

such that the  $\lambda_a$ 's become the 'momenta' conjugate to  $\phi^a$ . As was extensively discussed in [7], the variables  $\lambda_a$  form a basis in the cotangent space to  $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ : the 4N variables  $(\phi^a, \lambda_a)$  can be considered coordinates on the cotangent bundle over  $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ . Under diffeomorphisms on  $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ ,  $\lambda_a$  transforms like the derivatives  $\partial_a$ ,  $\bar{\eta}_\alpha$  in the same representation of Sp(2N) as  $\chi_\alpha$  and  $\eta^\alpha$  in the representation dual to it. The motivation for introducing the extended

Poisson structure is that antisymmetric tensor fields on  $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$  are equivalent to scalar fields on  $\mathcal{M}_{ext}$ . (In this context 'tensor' means any object  $\chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\cdots}$  where  $\Sigma^{ab}$  is not necessarily in the vector representation.) The equivalence mentioned above is established by representing the field  $\chi^{\beta_1\cdots\beta_q}_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p}(\phi)$  by the following function on  $\mathcal{M}_{ext}$ 

$$\widehat{\chi}(\phi,\eta,\bar{\eta}) = \frac{1}{p!q!} \chi^{\beta_1\cdots\beta_q}_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p}(\phi) \ \bar{\eta}_{\beta_1}\cdots\bar{\eta}_{\beta_q}\eta^{\alpha_1}\cdots\eta^{\alpha_p}.$$
(2.18)

If we now introduce the 'super-Hamiltonian'

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = h^{a}(\phi)\lambda_{a} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}K_{ab}(\phi)\left(\Sigma^{ab}\right)^{\alpha}_{\ \beta}\eta^{\beta}$$
(2.19)

it is easy to show that the Lie derivative of  $\chi_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p}^{\beta_1\cdots\beta_q}$  is realized as the extended Poisson bracket with  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ 

$$\{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}, \widehat{\chi}\}_{\text{epb}} = -(l_h \chi)^{\wedge}$$
(2.20)

where  $(l_h \chi)^{\wedge}$  is constructed from  $l_h \chi_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_q}$  in the same way as  $\widehat{\chi}$  from  $\chi$  in (2.18). Equation (2.16), for instance, is now equivalent to

$$\partial_t \widehat{\chi} = \{ \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}, \widehat{\chi} \}_{\text{epb}} = -(l_h \chi)^{\wedge}$$
(2.21)

with  $\widehat{\chi} \equiv \chi_{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha}$ . For a given representation of  $\Sigma^{ab}$ , (2.21) with  $\widehat{\chi}$  given by (2.18) generalizes the time evolution (2.16) in the sense that now  $\chi$  is allowed to carry any number of lower indices on which  $(\Sigma^{ab})_{\alpha}^{\beta}$  acts from the left and upper indices on which it acts from the right. Because the variables  $\eta^{\alpha}$  and  $\overline{\eta}_{\alpha}$  anticommute,  $\chi^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_q}_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}$  has to be antisymmetric in all upper and lower indices.

From the extended Poisson formalism it is a small step [7] to the path integral which provides a formal solution of (2.16) and its generalizations. Let us consider the following phase space path integral over paths on  $\mathcal{M}_{ext}$ 

$$K(\phi_2, \eta_2, t_2; \phi_1, \eta_1, t_1) \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ \mathcal{D}\lambda \ \mathcal{D}\eta \ \mathcal{D}\bar{\eta} \exp\left[i\int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left\{\lambda_a \dot{\phi}^a + i\bar{\eta}_\alpha \dot{\eta}^\alpha - \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}\right\}\right]$$
(2.22)

with the boundary conditions  $\phi^a(t_{1,2}) = \phi^a_{1,2}$  and  $\eta^\alpha(t_{1,2}) = \eta^\alpha_{1,2}$ . From the terms in the exponential containing time derivatives one concludes that the operatorial formalism equivalent to the path integral (2.22) is based upon the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi^{a}, \lambda_{b}] &= i\delta^{a}_{b} \qquad [\phi^{a}, \phi^{b}] = 0 = [\lambda_{a}, \lambda_{b}] \\ [\bar{\eta}_{\beta}, \eta^{\alpha}] &= \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} \qquad [\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}, \bar{\eta}_{\beta}] = 0 = [\eta^{\alpha}, \eta^{\beta}] \end{aligned}$$
(2.23)

(here  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  denotes the Z<sub>2</sub>-graded commutator). In a Schrödinger type representation of the algebra (2.23) we can represent the 'coordinates'  $\phi^a$  and  $\eta^{\alpha}$  as multiplication operators and the 'momenta'  $\lambda_a$  and  $\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}$  as derivatives

$$\lambda_{\alpha} = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^{\alpha}} \qquad \bar{\eta}_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^{\alpha}}.$$
(2.24)

The representation space is spanned by functions of the form

$$\chi(\phi,\eta) = \sum_{p} \frac{1}{p!} \chi_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_p}(\phi) \eta^{\alpha_1} \eta^{\alpha_2} \cdots \eta^{\alpha_p}.$$
(2.25)

If we are dealing with a finite-dimensional representation of  $\Sigma^{ab}$ , the indices  $\alpha_i$  run over finitely many values only and the summation over p terminates at some point due to the antisymmetry of the  $\eta$ 's. If, for instance,  $\Sigma^{ab} = \Sigma^{ab}_{form}$ , then  $\chi_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p} \to \chi_{a_1 \cdots a_p}$  is a p-form and the expansion of a generic function  $\chi(\phi, \eta)$  involves monomials with at most 2N anticommuting variables. In this case  $\eta^{\alpha} \to \eta^{a}$  transforms as a vector under Sp(2N).

For the 'wavefunction' (2.25) we postulate the Schrödinger type equation

$$i\partial_t \chi(\phi, \eta, t) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}\left(\phi, \lambda = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}, \eta, \bar{\eta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\right)\chi(\phi, \eta, t)$$
(2.26)

with  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$  given by (2.19). It is then obvious that the path integral (2.22) provides the solution of (2.26)

$$\chi(\phi, \eta, t) = \int d\phi_0 \, d\eta_0 \, K(\phi, \eta, t; | \phi_0, \eta_0, t_0) \chi(\phi_0, \eta_0, t_0).$$
 (2.27)

Inserting (2.25) into (2.26) it is easy to convince oneself that the time derivative of the coefficient functions  $\chi_{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_p}(\phi, t)$  is again given by their Lie derivative

$$\partial_t \chi_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}(\phi, t) = -l_h \chi_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p}(\phi, t).$$
(2.28)

This shows that (for tensors with lower indices only) the 'classical' equation (2.21) is completely equivalent to the 'Schrödinger type' equation (2.26). The reason for this equivalence of the classical and the 'quantum type' formulation can be traced back to the fact that the Lagrangian entering (2.22)

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} &= \lambda_a \dot{\phi}^a + \mathrm{i} \bar{\eta}_\alpha \dot{\eta}^\alpha - \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^a \\ &= \lambda_a \big( \dot{\phi}^a - h^a(\phi) \big) + \mathrm{i} \bar{\eta}_\alpha \big[ \delta^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} \partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} K_{ab}(\phi) \big( \Sigma^{ab} \big)^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} \big] \eta^{\beta} \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

gives rise to a kind of topological field theory [11] in which the fermionic quantum fluctuations exactly compensate for the bosonic ones. In this manner the functional integral (2.22) is exactly localized on the solutions of the classical equations of motion resulting from (2.29). We observe that  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  and  $\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}$  enter  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  as Lagrange multipliers for the equations of motion of  $\phi^{\alpha}$  and  $\eta^{\alpha}$ 

$$\dot{\phi}^a(t) = h^a(\phi(t)) \tag{2.30}$$

$$\dot{\eta}^{\alpha}(t) = -\frac{1}{2} i K_{ab} (\phi(t)) (\Sigma^{ab})^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} \eta^{\beta}.$$
(2.31)

For  $\Sigma^{ab}$  in the vector representation (2.12), the topological field theory aspects have been discussed in detail in [12] to which the reader is referred for further information. Here we only remark that in the vector representation (where we used [7] the notation  $c^a$ ,  $\bar{c}_a$  for the Grassmannian variables) the equation of motion (2.31) reads

$$\dot{c}^a(t) = \partial_b h^a(\phi(t)) c^b(t). \tag{2.32}$$

This equation is known as Jacobi's equation [13]. It describes small fluctuations around the solutions of Hamilton's equation (2.30). In fact, if we let  $\phi(t) \rightarrow \phi(t) + \delta\phi(t)$  in (2.30) and expand to first order in  $\delta\phi(t)$ , we find exactly (2.32) with  $c^{a}(t) = \delta\phi^{a}(t)$ . In [7] we argued that the time-dependent variable  $c^{a}(t)$  should be interpreted as a basis in the tangent space to the space of classical trajectories  $\phi_{cl}^a(t)$ . A similar interpretation can be given for the time independent Grassmannian variables c<sup>a</sup> in the Schrödinger-like picture we used before: at a fixed point  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{2N}$  they provide a basis of the tangent space  $T_{\phi}\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ . Thus they can be identified with the differentials  $d\phi^a$ . Similarly the antighosts  $\bar{c}_a$  span the cotangent space  $T_{a}^{*}\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ ; they correspond to the basis elements  $\partial_{a}$ . In [7] we have exploited this correspondence in order to reformulate all the operations of the exterior calculus on phase space (exterior derivative, contraction, Lie derivative, Lie brackets, etc.) in terms of the extended Poisson brackets (2.17). It is the purpose of the present paper to give a similar discussion for the case when the Grassmannian variables are in the metaplectic rather than in the vector representation. For metaplectic spinor fields most of the operations of the exterior calculus, such as exterior derivative, say, are not defined. Therefore we shall concentrate on the Lie derivative (2.21) which, in any representation, can be represented by an extended Poisson bracket.

Before closing this section we mention a subtlety which is absent in the vector case. If the representation of  $\Sigma^{ab}$  is such that  $\kappa_{ab}(\Sigma^{ab})^{\alpha}_{\alpha} \neq 0$ , then the Hamiltonian (2.19) will suffer from an ordering ambiguity because, according to (2.23), pulling  $\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}$  through  $\eta^{\beta}$  gives rise to a commutator term. For (2.21) and (2.26) to be exactly equivalent, the Grassmannian piece of the Hamiltonian must be 'antinormal' ordered, i.e. the  $\bar{\eta}_{\alpha} = \partial/\partial \eta^{\alpha}$  operator should be to the right of  $\eta^{\beta}$ .

## 3. The metaplectic group Mp(2N)

Let S denote a symplectic matrix in the vector representation. With any  $S \in \text{Sp}(2N)$  we can associate a unitary operator M(S),  $M(S)^{\dagger} = M(S)^{-1}$ , acting on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}$ , such that the map  $S \mapsto M(S)$  provides a representation of Sp(2N). This map is a two-to-one homomorphism: both +M(S) and -M(S) represent the same group element (therefore the notation M(S) is slightly misleading: we always have to carefully keep track of the correct sign) thus [3]

$$M(S_1)M(S_2) = \pm M(S_1S_2). \tag{3.1}$$

The operators M(S) form the metaplectic group Mp(2N) which is the covering group of Sp(2N). Objects transforming under Sp(2N) as  $\chi \mapsto M(S)\chi$  are called metaplectic spinors [1].

The representations of Spin(1, n - 1) follow from the representations of the Clifford algebra

$$\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} + \gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \tag{3.2}$$

in a well known manner. The representations of Mp(2N) follow from the metaplectic Clifford algebra [4, 10]

$$\gamma^a \gamma^b - \gamma^b \gamma^a = 2\mathrm{i}\omega^{ab} \tag{3.3}$$

in a similar way. Because of the crucial minus sign on the LHS of (3.3) this algebra does not admit finite-dimensional matrix representations. The  $\gamma$ -matrices are rather operators on

an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}$ . Imitating the procedure known from spinors on spacetime we specify a matrix  $S \in Sp(2N)$  and try to find an operator M(S) on  $\mathcal{V}$  such that

$$M(S)^{-1}\gamma^{a}M(S) = S^{a}_{\ b}\gamma^{b}.$$
(3.4)

We choose S infinitesimally close to the identity and parametrize  $S^a_b$  as in (2.12). For M(S) we make the ansatz

$$M(S) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} i \kappa_{ab} \Sigma_{\text{meta}}^{ab}.$$
(3.5)

Equation (3.4) leads to the condition

$$\left[\gamma^{a}, \Sigma_{meta}^{bc}\right] = i\left(\omega^{ab}\gamma^{c} + \omega^{ac}\gamma^{b}\right)$$
(3.6)

which is solved by

$$\Sigma_{\text{meta}}^{ab} = \frac{1}{4} (\gamma^a \gamma^b + \gamma^b \gamma^a). \tag{3.7}$$

We see that any representation of the  $\gamma$ 's gives rise to a representation of the generators  $\Sigma_{meta}^{ab}$ , in terms of which the group elements of Mp(2N) are then given by (3.5). We consider only representations in which the  $\gamma^a$  are Hermitian operators with respect to the inner product on  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then the generators are Hermitian too and M(S) is unitary

$$(\gamma^{a})^{\dagger} = \gamma^{a}$$

$$(\Sigma^{ab})^{\dagger} = \Sigma^{ab}$$

$$M(S)^{\dagger} = M(S)^{-1}.$$
(3.8)

For later use we note that (3.7) implies

$$\left[\Sigma^{ab}, \Sigma^{cd}\right] = i\left(\omega^{ac}\Sigma^{bd} + \omega^{bc}\Sigma^{ad} + \omega^{ad}\Sigma^{bc} + \omega^{bd}\Sigma^{ac}\right).$$
(3.9)

Next we give two explicit examples of the above construction.

## 3.1. The oscillator representation

We choose the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}$  to be the *N*-fold tensor power of the Fock space of the harmonic oscillator. Let  $a_i$  and  $a_i^{\dagger}$ , i = 1, ..., N, denote the corresponding annihilation and creation operators with the usual commutation relation

$$[a_i, a_j^{\dagger}] = \delta_{ij} \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, N$$
 (3.10)

 $\mathcal{V}$  is spanned by the basis vectors

$$|\{n\}\rangle \equiv |n_1\rangle |n_2\rangle \cdots |n_N\rangle$$

with  $|n_i\rangle \equiv (n_i!)^{-1/2} (a_i^{\dagger})^{n_i} |0\rangle$  where  $n_i = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...$  Hence the generic 'representation index'  $\alpha$  is now a set of N non-negative integers:  $\alpha \rightarrow \{n\} \equiv (n_1, n_2, ..., n_N), n_i = 0, 1, 2, ...$  Defining

$$\gamma^{k} = a_{k} + a_{k}^{\dagger}$$

$$\gamma^{N+k} = \mathbf{i}(a_{k} - a_{k}^{\dagger}) \qquad k = 1, \dots, N$$
(3.11)

it is easy to see that these operators satisfy the commutation relations (3.3).

## 3.2. The $\widehat{\varphi}$ representation

Here we exploit the fact that the metaplectic Clifford algebra is essentially the same as the Heisenberg algebra. We choose  $\mathcal{V}$  to be the Hilbert space of a quantum mechanical system with N degrees of freedom whose phase space has the topology of  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$ . The position operators  $\hat{x}^k$  and the momentum operators  $\hat{\pi}^k$  satisfy the canonical commutation relations

$$\left[\widehat{x}^{k},\widehat{\pi}^{j}\right] = i\hbar\delta^{kj} \qquad \left[\widehat{x}^{k},\widehat{x}^{j}\right] = 0 = \left[\widehat{\pi}^{k},\widehat{\pi}^{j}\right] \qquad k, j = 1,\dots,N.$$
(3.12)

Combining  $\widehat{x}^k$  and  $\widehat{\pi}^k$  into

$$\widehat{\varphi}^a \equiv \left(\widehat{\pi}^k, \widehat{x}^k\right) \qquad a = 1, \dots, 2N \tag{3.13}$$

equations (3.12) read

$$\widehat{\varphi}^a \widehat{\varphi}^b - \widehat{\varphi}^b \widehat{\varphi}^a = i\hbar \omega^{ab}. \tag{3.14}$$

Comparing (3.3) with (3.14) we see that the metaplectic  $\gamma$ -matrices can be realized as position and momentum operators

$$\gamma^a = \left(2/\hbar\right)^{1/2} \widehat{\varphi}^a. \tag{3.15}$$

In the representation in which  $\hat{x}^k$  is diagonal, say, we have (k = 1, ..., N)

$$(\gamma^{k})_{y}^{x} = (2/\hbar)^{1/2} \langle x | \, \hat{x}^{k} | y \rangle = (2/\hbar)^{1/2} x^{k} \delta^{N} (x - y)$$

$$(\gamma^{N+k})_{y}^{x} = (2/\hbar)^{1/2} \langle x | \, \hat{\pi}^{k} | y \rangle = -i(2\hbar)^{1/2} \partial_{k} \delta^{N} (x - y)$$
(3.16)

so that the generic representation index  $\alpha$  stands now for the eigenvalue of  $\hat{x}^k \colon \alpha \to x \equiv (x^k) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . In the bra-ket notation upper and lower indices corresponds to ket and bra vectors, respectively. In this representation the generators of Mp(2N) are second-order differential operators

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{ab}\Sigma_{\text{meta}}^{ab}\right)_{y}^{x} = \left[-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{kj}\partial^{k}\partial^{j} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{i}\kappa_{N+k,j}(x^{k}\partial^{j} + \partial^{j}x^{k}) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{N+k,N+j}x^{k}x^{j}\right]\delta^{N}(x-y)$$
(3.17)

(we set  $\hbar = 1$  from now on). We shall use the implicit summation (integration) convention also for the variable x which runs over an infinite set of values. Let  $|\psi\rangle$  be a vector in the representation space  $\mathcal{V}$  with complex components

$$\psi^x \equiv \langle x \mid \psi \rangle \tag{3.18}$$

and let  $\langle \psi | \in \mathcal{V}^*$  be the vector dual to  $|\psi\rangle$  with

$$\langle \psi \mid x \rangle \equiv \psi_x = (\psi^x)^*. \tag{3.19}$$

Then the dual pairing

$$\langle \chi \mid \psi \rangle \equiv \chi_x \psi^x \equiv (\chi^x)^* \psi^x \equiv \int \mathrm{d}^N x \, (\chi^x)^* \psi^x \tag{3.20}$$

is the usual inner product on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, d^N x)$ .

# 4. Spinor fields on $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$

The tangent bundle over phase space,  $T\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ , has  $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$  as its base manifold, and the fibres over each point  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{2N}$  (the local tangent spaces  $T_{\phi}\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ ) are copies of  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$ . The structure group Sp(2N) acts on the fibres in the vector representation. Let us now consider the associated 'spin bundle' where the structure group acts on the fibres in the metaplectic representation. The fibres are now copies of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}$  introduced in the previous section. At each point  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{2\pi}$  in the base manifold we erect a local Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}$ which plays a role analogous to  $T_{\phi}\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ . Depending on the concrete realization of  $\Sigma_{meta}^{ab}$  we may think of  $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}$ , for  $\phi$  fixed, as a Fock space or as the space of square integrable functions over  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ , say. A section through the spin bundle is locally given by a function

$$\psi: \mathcal{M}_{2N} \to \mathcal{V} \qquad \phi \mapsto |\psi; \phi\rangle \in \mathcal{V}_{\phi}. \tag{4.1}$$

Here the notation  $|\cdot; \phi\rangle$  indicates that this vector 'lives' in the local Hilbert space at the point  $\phi$ . At the level of matrix elements the function (4.1) is defined by the components

$$\psi^{x}(\phi) = \langle x \mid \psi; \phi \rangle \tag{4.2}$$

i.e. for each  $\phi$  we specify an (infinite-component) vector in the local Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}$ . We shall use the ' $\widehat{\varphi}$ -representation' from now on. The operators  $\widehat{\varphi} = (\widehat{\pi}^k, \widehat{x}^k)$  should be carefully distinguished from the operators  $\widehat{\phi}^a = (\widehat{p}^k, \widehat{q}^k)$  appearing in the conventional canonical quantization of the system  $(\mathcal{M}_{2N}, H)$ . The operators  $\widehat{\phi}^a$  act on the quantum mechanical Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}_{qm}$ , which is a *global* object, unrelated to any specific point of phase space. Here, instead, we are dealing with an infinite family of Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}$  labelled by the points of phase space. The operators  $\widehat{\varphi}$  act on these local Hilbert spaces.

By replacing  $\mathcal{V}$  by the dual Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}^*$  we arrive at the dual spin bundle. Its sections are locally described by functions

$$\chi_{x}(\phi) = \langle \chi; \phi \mid x \rangle \qquad \langle \chi; \phi \mid \in \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{*}.$$
(4.3)

In our formalism it is natural to consider also 'multispinor' fields

$$\phi \mapsto \chi^{x_1 \cdots x_q}_{y_1 \cdots y_p}(\phi) \tag{4.4}$$

which assume values in the tensor product

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{*} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\phi}^{*}}_{p \text{ factors}} \otimes \underbrace{\mathcal{V}_{\phi} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\phi} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\phi}}_{q \text{ factors}}$$
(4.5)

which is spanned by vectors of the form

$$|\psi_1;\phi\rangle\otimes\cdots\otimes|\psi_q;\phi\rangle\otimes\langle\chi_1;\phi|\otimes\cdots\otimes\langle\chi_p;\phi|.$$
(4.6)

We assume that  $\chi$  of (4.4) is completely antisymmetric in its upper and lower indices. Therefore it can be represented by a monomial in  $\eta$  and  $\bar{\eta}$ 

$$\widehat{\chi}(\phi,\eta,\bar{\eta}) = \frac{1}{p!q!} \chi^{x_1\cdots x_q}_{y_1\cdots y_p} \bar{\eta}_{x_1}\cdots \bar{\eta}_{x_q} \eta^{y_1}\cdots \eta^{y_p}.$$

$$(4.7)$$

This is a special case of (2.18): the variables  $\eta^x$  transform in the metaplectic representation now, and  $\bar{\eta}_x$  in the representation dual to it. Their extended Poisson bracket is

$$\left\{\bar{\eta}_x, \eta^y\right\}_{\text{epb}} = -\mathrm{i}\delta_x^{\ y} \equiv -\mathrm{i}\delta^{(N)}(x-y). \tag{4.8}$$

Now we are in a position to apply the formalism of section 2 to the metaplectic multispinors. The 'super-Hamiltonian' is defined as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = h^{a}(\phi)\lambda_{a} + \frac{1}{2}K_{ab}(\phi): \bar{\eta}_{x} \left(\Sigma_{\text{meta}}^{ab}\right)^{x}{}_{y}\eta^{y}:$$
(4.9)

where : : denotes the anti-normal ordering (cf the remarks at the end of section 2). Hence, as we have discussed already, the Lie derivative along the Hamiltonian vector field is given by

$$\left\{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}, \widehat{\chi}\right\}_{epb} = -(l_h \chi)^{\wedge}. \tag{4.10}$$

Inserting (4.7) one obtains

$$l_{h}\chi_{y_{1}\cdots y_{p}}^{x_{1}\cdots x_{q}}(\phi) = h^{a}(\phi)\partial_{a}\chi_{y_{1}\cdots y_{p}}^{x_{1}\cdots x_{q}}(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}i\sum_{j=1}^{q}K_{ab}(\phi)(\Sigma_{\text{meta}}^{ab})_{z}^{x_{j}}\chi_{x_{1}\cdots x_{p}}^{y_{1}\cdots y_{j-1}zy_{j+1}\cdots y_{q}}(\phi) - \frac{1}{2}i\sum_{j=1}^{p}K_{ab}(\phi)\chi_{y_{1}\cdots y_{j-1}zy_{j+1}\cdots y_{p}}^{x_{1}\cdots x_{q}}(\phi)(\Sigma_{\text{meta}}^{ab})_{y_{j}}^{z}.$$
(4.11)

We introduce time dependent fields  $\chi(\phi, t)$  in such a way that the only effect of the timeevolution is to drag the field along the Hamiltonian flow

$$\partial_t \chi_{y_1 \cdots y_p}^{x_1 \cdots x_q}(\phi, t) = -l_h \chi_{y_1 \cdots y_p}^{x_1 \cdots x_q}(\phi, t).$$

$$(4.12)$$

It is interesting to study bilinears formed from a spinor field  $\psi^{x}(\phi)$  and a dual spinor field  $\chi_{x}(\phi)$  (in the following,  $\chi$  is not necessarily the dual of  $\psi$ ). We define

$$E(\phi) \equiv \chi(\phi)\psi(\phi) \equiv \chi_{x}(\phi)\psi^{x}(\phi)$$

$$T^{a}(\phi) \equiv \chi(\phi)\gamma^{a}\psi(\phi) \equiv \chi_{x}(\phi)(\gamma^{a})^{x}_{y}\psi^{y}(\phi)$$

$$R^{ab}(\phi) \equiv \chi(\phi)\Sigma^{ab}_{meta}\psi(\phi) \equiv \chi_{x}(\phi)(\Sigma^{ab}_{meta})^{x}_{y}\psi^{y}(\phi)$$
(4.13)

where, as usual, integration over x and y is understood. Using the Leibniz rule (which, in our approach, is equivalent to the Jacobi identity for the extended Poisson brackets) we find for the Lie derivative of the bilinears (4.13)

$$l_h E = h^c \partial_c E \tag{4.14}$$

$$l_h T^a = h^c \partial_c T^a - \partial_c h^a T^c \tag{4.15}$$

$$l_h R^{ab} = h^c \partial_c R^{ab} - \partial_c h^a R^{cb} - \partial_c h^b R^{ac}$$
(4.16)

where (3.6) and (3.7) have been used. We observe that  $E(\phi)$  transforms under symplectic diffeomorphisms as a scalar,  $T^{a}(\phi)$  as a vector, and  $R^{ab}(\phi)$  as a symmetric tensor. We shall see shortly that  $T^{a}$  and  $R^{ab}$  are related to translation and rotation generators, hence their names. Equation (4.14) shows that  $E = \chi \psi$  transforms under any canonical transformations and, in particular, under the time evolution, like a scalar density  $\varrho(\phi, t)$ , see (2.4). Similarly (4.15) and (4.16) are special cases of (2.5) for vectors and second rank tensors provided the dynamics of  $\chi_x$  and  $\psi^x$  is governed by the equation (4.12).

# 5. The 'worldline' spinors $\eta$ and $\bar{\eta}$

Next we consider the path integral (2.22) specialized for  $\Sigma^{ab} = \Sigma^{ab}_{meta}$  in the  $\hat{\varphi}$ -representation. First we look at the classical equations of motion resulting from the corresponding Lagrangian

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \lambda_a \left( \dot{\phi}^a - h^a(\phi) \right) + \mathrm{i} \bar{\eta}_x \left[ \delta^x{}_y \partial_t + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \partial_a \partial_b H(\phi) (\Sigma^{ab}_{\mathrm{meta}})^x{}_y \right] \eta^y.$$
(5.1)

For  $\phi^a$  and  $\lambda_a$  they read

$$\partial_t \phi^a = h^a(\phi)$$
 (5.2)

$$\partial_t \lambda_a = -\partial_a h^b \lambda_b - \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \partial_b \partial_c H \ \bar{\eta}_x (\Sigma_{\text{meta}}^{bc})^x{}_y \eta^y \tag{5.3}$$

and for the spinors they are

$$i\partial_t \eta^x(t) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \partial_b H(\phi(t)) (\Sigma_{\text{meta}}^{ab})^x{}_y \eta^y(t)$$
(5.4)

$$i\partial_t \bar{\eta}_x(t) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_u \partial_b H(\phi(t)) \ \bar{\eta}_y (\Sigma_{\text{meta}}^{ab})_x^y.$$
(5.5)

Recalling (3.17) we see that (5.4) has the form of the Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \eta = \widehat{H}^{(2)} \eta$$

of a particle whose configuration space is  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and whose dynamics is governed by a Hamiltonian  $\widehat{H}^{(2)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} K_{ab} \Sigma_{meta}^{ab}$  with a quadratic potential

$$\widehat{H}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_k\partial_j H \partial^k \partial^j - \frac{1}{2}i\partial_{N+k}\partial_j H \left(x^k \partial^j + \partial^j x^k\right) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{N+k}\partial_{N+j} H x^k x^j.$$
(5.6)

This Hamiltonian is explicitly time dependent: the second derivatives of H have to be evaluated at the point  $\phi^a(t)$ . Equations (5.4) and (5.5) are the metaplectic analogues of the equations

$$\partial_t c^a = \partial_b h^a c^b \tag{5.7}$$

$$\partial_t \bar{c}_a = -\partial_a h^b \bar{c}_b \tag{5.8}$$

in the vector representation where the Grassmannian variables are denoted by  $c^a$  and  $\bar{c}_a$ . We mentioned already that (5.7) is the Jacobi equation describing small fluctuations  $\delta \phi^a(t) = c^a(t)$  around classical solutions  $\phi(t)$  of Hamilton's equation (5.2). The solution of (5.7) reads

$$c^{a}(t) = S^{a}_{\ b}(t)c^{b}(0) \tag{5.9}$$

with the Jacobi matrix

$$S(t) = \widehat{T} \exp \int_0^t dt' N(t')$$
(5.10)

where  $\widehat{T}$  is the time-ordering operator and where N is the matrix whose elements are

$$N^{a}_{\ b}(t) \equiv \partial_{b}h^{a}(\phi(t)) \equiv \omega^{ac}\partial_{b}\partial_{b}H(\phi(t)).$$
(5.11)

It is easy to see [3,9] that S(t) is symplectic:  $S(t) \in Sp(2N)$ . The matrix  $N_b^a$  is the counterpart of the quadratic Schrödinger operator  $\widehat{H}^{(2)}$  in the vector representation. The solution of (5.4) is given by

$$\eta^{x}(t) = M(S(t))^{x}{}_{y}\eta^{y}(0)$$
(5.12)

with the unitary time evolution operator [3]

$$M(S(t)) = \widehat{T} \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2} \int_0^t dt' \,\partial_a \partial_b H(\phi(t')) \Sigma_{meta}^{ab}\right]$$
  
$$\equiv \widehat{T} \exp\left[-i \int_0^t dt' \,\widehat{H}^{(2)}(\phi(t'))\right].$$
(5.13)

Note that S(t) and M(S(t)) are functionals of the path  $\phi^a(t)$ . The operator M(S(t)) is an element of the metaplectic group,  $M(S(t)) \in Mp(2N)$ . It represents the Jacobi matrix  $S^a_b$  in the spinor representation. In general the notation M = M(S) would be ambiguous because of the two-to-one homomorphism between  $S \in Sp(2N)$  and  $M \in Mp(2N)$ . However, in the present case, the continuity of the time-evolution and the fixed initial condition S(t = 0) = 1, M(t = 0) = 1 makes the relation between S and M unique [3].

Equations (5.9) and (5.12) should be interpreted as follows. We start from a trajectory  $\phi^a(t)$  and calculate the corresponding Jacobi matrix  $S^a_b(t)$  for t > 0. At t = 0, the variable  $c^a(0)$  is a vector in the tangent space  $T_{\phi(0)}\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ . For  $t \ge 0$ , the  $c^a(t)$  given by (5.9) 'lives' in a different tangent space:  $c^a(t) \in T_{\phi(t)}\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ . Equation (5.9) describes how  $c^a(t)$  is 'transported' along the one-parameter family of tangent spaces  $T_{\phi(t)}\mathcal{M}_{2N}$  which is associated with the classical path  $\phi^a(t)$ . An analogous argument applies to the metaplectic spinors  $\eta$ . For different times,  $\eta(t)$  is a vector in different local Hilbert spaces:  $\eta(t) \in \mathcal{V}_{\phi(t)}$ . Equation (5.12) describes the change of  $\eta$  as it is dragged along  $\phi^a(t)$  from  $\mathcal{V}_{\phi(0)}$  to  $\mathcal{V}_{\phi(t)}$ .

The importance of the classical solution (5.12) stems from the fact that the path integral

$$Z_{\text{meta}}(\phi_2, \eta_2, t_2; \phi_1, \eta_1, t_1) \propto \int \mathcal{D}\phi \ \mathcal{D}\lambda \ \mathcal{D}\eta \ \mathcal{D}\bar{\eta} \exp\left[i\int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \ \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\right]$$
(5.14)

with the boundary conditions  $\phi^a(t_{1,2}) = \phi^a_{1,2}$  and  $\eta^x(t_{1,2}) = \eta^x_{1,2}$  can be solved exactly in terms of the classical solution  $\phi_{cl}$  of (5.2) and the operator M(S(t))

$$Z_{\text{meta}}(\phi_2, \eta_2, t_2; \phi_1, \eta_1, t_1) = \delta(\phi_2 - \phi_{\text{cl}}(t; \phi_1)) \delta(\eta_2 - M(S(t_2; \phi_1))\eta_1).$$
(5.15)

Here the solution  $\phi_{cl}(t; \phi_1)$  is subject to the initial condition  $\phi_{cl}(0; \phi_1) = \phi_1$ , and  $S(t; \phi_1)$  is the Jacobi matrix (5.10) for exactly this classical path. Because of the ordering ambiguity mentioned in section 2, (5.15) is valid only for a specific discretization of the path integral (5.14), namely the one corresponding to 'antinormal' ordering. Any other discretization would lead to an additional path dependent phase factor on the RHS of (5.15).

Next we investigate the time evolution of the bilinears formed from the variables  $\eta^x$  and  $\bar{\eta}_x$ . We consider

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \equiv \bar{\eta}(t)\eta(t) \equiv \bar{\eta}_x(t)\eta^x(t)$$

$$\mathcal{T}^a \equiv \bar{\eta}(t)\gamma^a\eta(t) \equiv \bar{\eta}_x(t)(\gamma^a)^x_{\ y}\eta^y(t)$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{ab}(t) \equiv \bar{\eta}(t)\Sigma^{ab}_{\text{meta}}\eta(t) \equiv \bar{\eta}_x(t)(\Sigma^{ab}_{\text{meta}})^x_{\ y}\eta^y(t).$$
(5.16)

These bilinears should not be confused with the similar ones in (4.13): in (4.13) the fields are defined on all of phase space, whereas the objects in (5.16) are defined along some fixed trajectories  $\phi(t)$  only. In an obvious analogy,  $\psi^x(\phi)$  and  $\eta^x(t)$  can be visualized as 'spacetime' and 'worldline' fermions, respectively. Using (5.4) and (5.5) one finds that

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}(t) = 0 \tag{5.17}$$

$$\partial_t \mathcal{T}^a(t) = \partial_b h^a \mathcal{T}^b(t) \tag{5.18}$$

$$\partial_t \mathcal{R}^{ab}(t) = \partial_c h^a \mathcal{R}^{cb}(t) + \partial_c h^b \mathcal{R}^{ac}(t).$$
(5.19)

We can look at these equations in two rather different ways. From a geometrical point of view they express the fact that the time evolution drags  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}^a$  and  $\mathcal{R}^{ab}$  along the path  $\phi(t)$  whereby they behave as a scalar, a vector and a symmetric tensor, respectively. With this interpretation (5.17)–(5.19) may be thought of as a restriction of (4.14)–(4.16) (with  $l_h$ replaced by  $-\partial_t$ ) to the points of the trajectory  $\phi^a(t)$ . We may also look at (5.17)–(5.19) as a manifestation of Ehrenfest's theorem of elementary quantum mechanics which says that the expectation values of observables, which are at most quadratic in the coordinates and momenta, evolve exactly according to the c<sup>1</sup> issical equations of motion. In the present case the theorem applies to the operators  $\hat{x}^k$  and  $\hat{\pi}^k$  acting on the local Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{T}^a$  is the 'expectation value'  $\bar{\eta}\gamma^a\eta$  of the 'observable'  $\gamma^a$ , i.e. of  $\hat{x}^k$  and  $\hat{\pi}^k$ , with respect to the 'wavefunction'  $\eta^x(t) \equiv \eta(x, t)$ . Similarly,  $\mathcal{R}^{ab}$  is the 'expectation value' of products such as  $\hat{x}^k \hat{\pi}^j$ . With this interpretation, (5.17) expresses the conservation of the 'norm'  $\bar{\eta}\eta$  in the local Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}$ .

We observe that (5.18) coincides with (5.7) for the vector ghosts. This suggests the correspondence

$$c^a \sim \bar{\eta} \gamma^a \eta \tag{5.20}$$

indicating that the metaplectic worldline spinors may be thought of as 'square roots' of the vectors  $c^a$ , i.e. of the Jacobi fields.

In the operatorial formalism equivalent to the path integral (5.14) the anticommuting variables satisfy

$$\left[\bar{\eta}_x, \eta^y\right] = \delta_x^y \equiv \delta^{(N)}(x - y).$$
(5.21)

This implies the following commutators for the bilinears (5.16)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{T}^{a} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}^{ab} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$
  
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{T}^{a}, \mathcal{T}^{b} \end{bmatrix} = 2i\omega^{ab}\mathcal{E}$$
  
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{T}^{a}, \mathcal{R}^{bc} \end{bmatrix} = i(\omega^{ab}\mathcal{T}^{c} + \omega^{ac}\mathcal{T}^{b})$$
  
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}^{ab}, \mathcal{R}^{cd} \end{bmatrix} = i(\omega^{ac}\mathcal{R}^{bd} + \omega^{ad}\mathcal{R}^{bc} + \omega^{bc}\mathcal{R}^{ad} + \omega^{bd}\mathcal{R}^{ac}).$$
  
(5.22)

These are the commutation relations for the Lie algebra of the inhomogeneous metaplectic group [3] IMp(2N). It is the semi-direct product of Mp(2N) generated by  $\mathcal{R}^{ab}$ , and the Weyl group generated by  $\mathcal{T}^a$  and  $\mathcal{E}$ . Comparing (5.22) to the Poincaré algebra we see that  $\mathcal{R}^{ab}$  and  $\mathcal{T}^a$  play the role of Lorentz rotations and of translations on phase space. This analogy is incomplete, however. On phase space the translations  $\mathcal{T}^a$  do not commute: the Weyl group contains the central extension  $\mathcal{E}$ . Usually this fact is expressed by saying that the Weyl operators  $T(\phi) \equiv \exp[i\phi^a \omega_{ab} \widehat{\phi}^b]$  provide a projective representation of the translations  $T(\phi_1)T(\phi_2) = \exp[\frac{1}{2}i\phi_1^a \omega_{ab}\phi_2^b]T(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$ . This implies that we pick up a phase when we go around a loop in phase space (see [3] for a detailed discussion).

#### 6. The metaplectic spinors as semiclassical wavefunctions

In this section we reinterpret the variables  $\eta^x(t)$  and  $\bar{\eta}_x(t)$  from a slightly different point of view. Let us consider the quantum mechanical system defined by the Hamiltonian  $H(\phi^a) \equiv H(p^i, q^i)$ . We know that transition amplitudes can be expressed as Feynman path integrals

$$\langle q_2, t_2 \mid q_1, t_1 \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\phi^a(t) \exp\left\{ i \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[ \frac{1}{2} \phi^a \omega_{ab} \dot{\phi}^b - H(\phi) \right] \right\}.$$
(6.1)

The integration is over paths  $\phi^a(t) \equiv (p^i(t), q^i(t))$  subject to the boundary conditions  $q^i(t_{1,2}) = q^i_{1,2}$ . Let us evaluate (6.1) semiclassically. To this end we split the integration variable  $\phi^a(t)$  into a classical part  $\phi_{cl}(t)$ , which solves Hamilton's equation, and a quantum fluctuation  $\varphi^a(t) \equiv (\pi^i(t), x^i(t))$ :  $\phi^a(t) = \phi^a_{cl}(t) + \varphi^a(t)$ . In this way we obtain

$$\langle q_2, t_2 \mid q_1, t_1 \rangle = \sum_{\phi_{cl}} e^{iS[\phi_{cl}]} \Delta(x_2, t_2; x_1, t_1; [\phi_{cl}])$$
 (6.2)

where the sum is over all relevant classical solutions, and

$$\Delta(x_2, t_2; x_1, t_1; [\phi_{cl}]) \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\varphi^a(t) \exp\left\{ i \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[ \frac{1}{2} \varphi^a \omega_{ab} \dot{\varphi}^b - H^{(2)}(\varphi^a; \phi_{cl}) \right] \right\}$$
(6.3)

with the quadratic Hamitonian

$$H^{(2)}(\varphi^{a};\phi^{a}_{cl}) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{a}\partial_{b}H(\phi_{cl}(t)) \ \varphi^{a}\varphi^{b}.$$
(6.4)

The boundary conditions for the integral (6.3) are  $x^{i}(t_{1,2}) = x^{i}_{1,2}$  where  $q^{i}_{1,2} \equiv q^{i}_{cl}(t_{1,2}) + x^{i}_{1,2}$ . If  $q^{i}_{cl}(t)$  passes exactly through the points  $q^{i}_{1,2}$  at time  $t = t_{1,2}$  then  $x^{i}_{1,2} = 0$ . Let us also consider the possibility that there is no classical path which connects  $q^{i}_{1}$  and  $q^{i}_{2}$  exactly but that the amplitude is nevertheless dominated by a classical trajectory (or several) which passes near  $q^{i}_{1}$  and  $q^{i}_{2}$  at  $t = t_{1,2}$ . In this case  $x^{i}_{1,2} \neq 0$ . From (6.3) with (6.4) we learn that the quantum correction  $\Delta$  is a solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t \Delta(x, t; x_0, t_0; [\phi_{cl}]) = \widehat{H}^{(2)} \Delta(x, t; x_0, t_0; [\phi_{cl}]).$$
(6.5)

In this equation.

$$\widehat{H}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \partial_b H(\phi_{\rm cl}(t)) \ \widehat{\varphi}^a \widehat{\varphi}^b = \frac{1}{2} K_{ab}(\phi_{\rm cl}(t)) \ \Sigma^{ab}_{\rm meta}$$
(6.6)

is exactly the Schrödinger Hamiltonian which appears in the equation of motion of the worldline spinors  $\eta(t)$ , see (5.4) and (3.7) with (3.15). As a consequence, (6.5) coincides with the equation of motion (5.4) for  $\eta^x(t) \equiv \Delta(x, t)$ . This allows for a geometric interpretation of the 'index'  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  which labels the matrix elements of  $\Sigma_{meta}^{ab}$  in the  $\hat{\varphi}$ -representation. Originally the operators  $\hat{\varphi}^a = (\hat{\pi}^i, \hat{x}^i)$  were introduced in (3.15) in order to represent the metaplectic  $\gamma$ -matrices. Here we see that they are the operatorial counterpart of the fluctuation variable  $\varphi^a(t) \equiv \varphi^a(t) - \varphi^a_{cl}(t)$  in the path integral. In this way the eigenvalues of  $\hat{x}$ , i.e. the 'representation indices' x, acquire a direct physical interpretation related to the *base manifold*  $\mathcal{M}_{2n}$  rather than the *fibre*  $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}$ : given some point  $\phi = (p, q) \in \mathcal{M}_{2n}$  they parametrize nearby points with the same coordinate p as (p, q+x).

This is obvious from the initial condition of the path integral:  $q_{1,2} = q_{cl}(t_{1,2}) + x_{1,2}$ . (Since the initial and final values of the momenta are integrated over, no corresponding shift of p is obtained.)

The analogous situation in the vector representation, i.e. in classical mechanics, is well known [12]. Let us fix a point  $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{2N}$  and let us consider the vectors  $c \in T_{\phi}\mathcal{M}_{2N}$  living in the tangent space at  $\phi$ . Then, heuristically speaking, these vectors parametrize the points in the neighbourhood of  $\phi$  as  $\phi' = \phi + \varepsilon c$  (with  $\varepsilon$  an anticommuting parameter [7, 12]). This means that (locally) points in the fibre are related to points in the base space. Switching on the Hamiltonian flow and repeating this construction at each point along some classical trajectory  $\phi(t)$ , the 'worldline vector fields' c(t), living in the tangent space to the space of classical paths, parametrize nearby trajectories via  $\phi'(t) = \phi(t) + \varepsilon c(t)$ . Hence c(t)obeys the Jacobi equations (5.7). Its solution tells us the influence of a change of initial conditions,  $\delta\phi(t_1) \equiv \varepsilon c(t_1)$ , on the trajectory for any later time  $t_2 > t_1$ :  $\delta\phi(t_2) = \varepsilon c(t_2)$ .

In the metaplectic case the situation is similar: the worldline spinors  $\eta(t)$  are the 'squareroot' of the Jacobi fields c(t) in the sense that  $c^a \sim \bar{\eta} \gamma^a \eta$ . Bilinears formed from  $\eta$  living in the fibre  $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}$  can be used to parametrize nearby points in the base as

$$\phi'^{a} = \phi^{a} + \bar{\eta} \gamma_{a} \eta. \tag{6.7}$$

In this sense  $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}_{\phi}$  is equivalent to  $T_{\phi}\mathcal{M}_{2n}$ . More importantly, the spinors  $\eta^x(t) = \Delta(x, t; x_1, t_1; [\phi_{cl}])$  themselves are semiclassical wave functions. They give us the probability amplitude of finding the particle at time t at a distance x relative to  $q_{cl}(t)$  given that, at time  $t_1$ , it was at distance  $x_1$  from  $q_{cl}(t_1)$ .

### 7. Conclusions

In the previous sections we introduced a path integral for the time evolution of metaplectic spinor fields which can be defined on the phase space of any Hamiltonian system. The path integral includes an integration over worldline spinors  $\eta(t)$  which assume values in local Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{V}_{\phi}$ . They are the quantum mechanical analogue of the worldline vectors  $c^{a}(t)$ , living in  $T_{\phi}\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ , which appear in classical mechanics. The classical quantities can be interpreted as Jacobi fields, the metaplectic spinors are semiclassical wavefunctions.

The kinematical framework outlined in this paper lends itself for further investigation in various directions. A particularly interesting aspect concerns the fact that not every symplectic manifold can support metaplectic structures [2]. This is analogous to the well known fact that there are spacetimes which cannot carry spin structure. Witten has shown [14] that in this case the supersymmetric quantum mechanics of a spinning particle on this spacetime is suffering from a global anomaly. Using similar techniques, it can be shown that the path integral discussed in this paper has a global anomaly if one attempts to define it on a symplectic manifold which cannot carry metaplectic structures. We shall come back to this point elsewhere [15].

Further open problems to be explored include the implementation of the background split symmetry [16] in the metaplectic path integral. In the classical case this symmetry is implemented by a BRS invariance [7] which guarantees that a shift in the classical trajectory,  $\phi_{cl}(t)$ , can be compensated for by a corresponding contribution from  $c^a(t)$ . Since  $c^a(t)$  is replaced by the composite  $\bar{\eta}\gamma^a\eta$  in the metaplectic case, a variant of the classical BRS symmetry might also be present here, but more work is needed.

#### Acknowledgments

This research has been supported in part by grants from INFN, MURST and NATO. MR acknowledges the hospitality of the Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Trieste, while this work was in progress.

### References

- [1] Kostant B 1974 Symposia Mathematica 14 139
- [2] Woodhouse N 1980 Geometric Quantization (Oxford: Clarendon) Sniatycki J 1980 Geometric Quantization and Quantum Mechanics (New York: Springer)
- [3] Littlejohn R G 1986 Phys. Rep. 138 193
- [4] Gozzi E and Reuter M 1989 Nucl. Phys. B 325 356
- [5] Green M B and Hull C M 1989 Phys. Lett. 225B 57; 1989 Phys. Lett. 229B 215 Bars I and Kallosh R 1989 Phys. Lett. 233B 117
- [6] Castaño D J 1991 Phys. Lett. 269B 345
- [7] Gozzi E, Reuter M and Thacker W D 1989 Phys. Rev. D 40 3363; 1992 Phys. Rev. D 46 757
- [8] DeWitt B 1984 Relativity, Groups and Topology vol II, ed B DeWitt and R Stora (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
- [9] Abraham R and Marsden J 1978 Foundations of Mechanics (New York: Benjamin) Arnold V I 1978 Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics (New York: Springer)
- [10] DeWitt B 1984 Supermanifolds (Cambridge: Cambridge Unversity Press)
- Witten E 1988 Commun. Math. Phys. 117 353; 1988 Commun. Math. Phys. 118 411
   Birmingham D, Blau M, Rakowski M and Thompson G 1991 Phys. Rep. 209 130
- [12] Gozzi E and Reuter M 1990 Phys. Lett. 240B 137
- [13] DeWitt-Morette C et al 1979 Phys. Rep. 50 225
- [14] Witten E 1985 Anomalies, Geometry and Topology ed W A Bardeen and A R White (Singapore: World Scientific) pp 61–99
- [15] Reuter M Preprint DESY, to appear
- [16] Friedan D 1980 Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 1057; 1985 Ann. Phys. 163 318
  - Becchi C 1988 Renormalization of Quantum Field Theories with Nonlinear Field Transformations (Lecture Notes in Physics 303) ed P Breitenlohner et al (Berlin: Springer) pp 97-108